



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,386	07/05/2001	Shui-Hung Chen	TS00-424	3633
28112 7	7590 09/10/2003			:
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603		S	EXAMINER	
		NADAV, ORI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
DATE MAILEI				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/898,386	CHEN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit
	ori nadav	2811
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendmen
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-20</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation She t (PTOL-3 09/898,386

The reply filed on 8/15/2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action and the amendment to the claims has not been entered, because the amendment to the claims should be made in accordance with 37 CFR 1.121. More specifically, claim 9 is not mentioned in the amendment as filed.